Distribution Range Extensions of *Parapercis bicoloripes* and *P. diplospilus* (Perciformes: Pinguipedidae) in the South China Sea and the Adjacent Waters, with Notes on Ontogenetic Changes in *P. bicoloripes*

Mizuki Matsunuma^{1,8}, Abd Ghaffar Mazlan², Aziz Arshad³, Ying Giat Seah^{2,4}, Siti Tafzilmeriam S. A. K.⁴, Azwarina Azmi Ramasamy⁴, Ricard P. Babaran⁵, Yoshino Fukui⁶, and Hiroyuki Motomura⁷

¹ Laboratory of Marine Biology, Faculty of Science, Kochi University, 2-5-1 Akebono, Kochi 780-8520, Japan

E-mail: k1139853@kadai.jp

² School of Fisheries and Aquaculture Sciences, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, 21030 Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu, Malaysia
³ Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia
⁴ South China Sea Repository and Reference Center, Institute of Oceanography and Environment, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, 21030 Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu, Malaysia

⁵ University of the Philippines Visayas, 5023 Miag-ao, Iloilo, Philippines

⁶ The United Graduate School of Agricultural Sciences, Kagoshima University, 1-21-24 Korimoto, Kagoshima 890-0065, Japan ⁷ The Kagoshima University Museum, 1-21-30 Korimoto, Kagoshima 890-0065, Japan ⁸ Corresponding author

(Received 10 May 2016; Accepted 7 September 2016)

Parapercis bicoloripes Prokofiev, 2010, previously known only from waters off Vietnam, is recorded from the northern Gulf of Thailand, the east coast of the Malay Peninsula, northern Borneo, and Panay, Philippines. Detailed examination of 27specimens (66.1–136.0 mm standard length) revealed significant growth-related changes in several body proportions and coloration. In addition, 11 specimens (44.2–74.1 mm standard length) of *Parapercis diplospilus* Gomon, 1981, previously recorded from Vietnam, the Philippines, Indonesia, Papua New Guinea, and Australia, are reported for the first time from the Gulf of Thailand and off Terengganu State, Malaysia, east coast of the Malay Peninsula.

Key Words: sandperches, distribution, morphology, Thailand, Malaysia, Philippines, Pacific Ocean.

Introduction

The South China Sea overlaps the Coral Triangle, a region of exceptional marine diversity (Hoeksema 2007). Randall and Lim (2000) listed at least 3365 species of marine fishes from the South China Sea, including the Gulf of Thailand. Although a number of regional studies on marine fish faunas in this region have been published recently (*e.g.*, Matsunuma *et al.* 2011; Yoshida *et al.* 2013; Kimura *et al.* 2015), studies on the diversity of marine fishes in this region are still ongoing.

Eleven specimens of a small sandperch collected from the Gulf of Thailand and off Kuala Terengganu, Malaysia (east coast of the Malay Peninsula), were identified as *Parapercis diplospilus* Gomon 1981, a species previously recorded from many localities in the southeastern Indian and western Pacific oceans, including Vietnam, the Philippines, Indonesia, Papua New Guinea, and Australia (Allen *et al.* 2006; Prokofiev 2008; Allen and Erdmann 2012). In addition, 27 specimens of *Parapercis bicoloripes* Prokofiev, 2010, previously known only from the original description of Vietnamese specimens, were collected from many localities in the South

China Sea and the adjacent waters, including the Gulf of Thailand, Malaysia and the Philippines. These new records of two sandperch species are reported here as a further step in understanding marine fish diversity in this region.

Materials and Methods

Counts and measurements generally follow Randall *et al.* (2008) and were generally made on the left side. Standard and head lengths are abbreviated as SL and HL, respectively. Gill rakers, including all rudiments, were counted on the outer side of the first right side arch. The last ray of both the soft dorsal fin and anal fin was split to the base, but was counted as a single ray, each being associated with a single pterygiophore. Scale row counts above the lateral line include the dorsalmost longitudinal row of small scales beneath the dorsal-fin base, expressed as 0.5. The distribution map was prepared using Quantum GIS 2.2 (Quantum GIS Development Team 2014), with data from ETOPO1 (Amante and Eakins 2009). Specimens examined in this study are deposited at the following institutions: Laboratory of Marine Biology, Faculty of Science, Kochi University (BSKU),

Kochi; the Kagoshima University Museum (KAUM), Kagoshima; National Museum of Nature and Science (NSMT), Tsukuba; South China Sea Repository and Reference Center, Institute of Oceanography and Environment, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu (UMTF), Kuala Terengganu; and Ichthyology Collection, Museum of Natural Sciences, University of the Philippines Visayas (UPVMI), Miagao.

Parapercis bicoloripes Prokofiev, 2010 (Figs 1–3; Tables 1–2)

Material examined. Twenty-seven specimens, 66.1–136.0 mm SL. **Thailand:** KAUM–I. 23099, 100.2 mm SL, KAUM–I. 23124, 110.9 mm SL, KAUM–I. 23128, 100.5 mm SL, KAUM–I. 23158, 100.9 mm SL, KAUM–I. 24153, 128.4 mm SL, northern Gulf of Thailand, bottom trawl (ob-

tained at fish market in Mahachai). Malaysia (Terengganu State, east coast of Malay Peninsula): KAUM-I. 16935, 120.4 mm SL, off Kuala Terengganu, bottom trawl, 11 December 2008 (obtained at Chendering fishing port, Kuala Terengganu); KAUM-I. 41715, 119.1mm SL, KAUM-I. 41716, 102.7 mm SL, 48 km off Chendering, Kuala Terengganu, 05°16'N, 103°11'E, 70-90 m depth, bottom trawl, 10 September 2011; KAUM-I. 79750, 123.3 mm SL, KAUM-I. 79751, 108.1 mm SL, KAUM-I. 79752, 104.9 mm SL, KAUM-I. 79753, 126.2 mm SL, KAUM-I. 79754, 136.0 mm SL, KAUM-I. 79755, 123.1 mm SL, KAUM-I. 79756, 126.0 mm SL, KAUM-I. 79757, 118.4 mm SL, KAUM-I. 79758, 115.3 mm SL, KAUM-I. 79759, 119.8 mm SL, KAUM-I. 79760, 107.9 mm SL, KAUM-I. 79761, 132.7 mm SL, UMTF 6569, 129.1 mm SL, UMTF 6570, 109.9 mm SL, UMTF 6571, 79.0 mm SL, UMTF 6572, 102.4 mm SL,



Fig. 1. Fresh specimens of *Parapercis bicoloripes* from Malaysia (A–B) and the Philippines (C). A, KAUM–I. 79754, 136.0 mm SL, off Kuala Terengganu; B, KAUM–I. 16935, 120.4 mm SL, off Kuala Terengganu; C, KAUM–I. 69435, 66.1 mm SL, off Miagao, Iloilo, Panay Island.



Fig. 2. Distribution of *Parapercis bicoloripes* (triangles) and *P. diplospilus* (circles). Closed and open symbols indicate previously known and new records, respectively.



Fig. 3. Ontogenetic changes in relationship of snout length (circles) and fleshy orbit diameter (triangles) as percentage of standard length to standard length (mm) in *Parapercis bicoloripes*.

UMTF 6573, 123.9 mm SL, off Kuala Terengganu, bottom trawl. **Malaysia (Sabah State, Borneo):** KAUM–I. 12491, 96.2 mm SL, off Kota Kinabalu, bottom trawl (obtained at fish market in Kota Kinabalu). **Philippines:** KAUM–I. 69435, 66.1 mm SL, off Miagao, Iloilo, Panay Island, 24 February 2015.

Description. Morphometrics, expressed as percentages of SL, and frequency distribution of selected meristics are shown in Tables 1 and 2. Dorsal-fin rays V, 21; anal-fin rays I, 17; pectoral-fin rays all branched except for uppermost ray; pelvic-fin rays I, 5; branched caudal-fin rays 15 (8 and 7 in dorsal and ventral series, respectively); pseudobranchial filaments 14–20 (18); branchiostegal rays 6.

Body subcylindrical, moderately elongate; moderately deep, depth at anal-fin origin 4.8–5.8 in SL; width at pectoral-fin base 5.7–7.3 in SL. Caudal peduncle short, deep, its depth 0.9–1.1 in length. Head moderately large, length 3.5–3.9 in SL; snout blunt, length slightly longer than orbit diameter; eye relatively large, fleshy orbit diameter 3.5–4.3 in HL; interorbital space moderately wide, least bony width

10.2-15.6 in HL.

Mouth small, slightly oblique, forming angle of about 20° to horizontal axis of head; lower jaw slightly protruding beyond tip of upper jaw; posterior margin of upper jaw reaching vertical drawn through anterior margin of pupil. Upper jaw with outer row of 22-29 incurved caniniform teeth on each side, anterior pair greatly enlarged, about 3 times larger than adjacent teeth in size, other teeth increasingly smaller posteriorly; inner band of villiform conical teeth behind outer caniniform row of upper jaw, broadest anteriorly with 4 or 5 rows maximum, gradually narrowing posteriorly to 1 row at rear of jaw. Front of lower jaw with usually 3 pairs of incurved, enlarged caniniform teeth in distinctly separated outer row (irregular row of 5 pairs of variously sized caniniform teeth in KAUM-I. 79753 regarded as abnormal condition), outermost tooth largest, strongly canted posteriorly, about 3 times size of innermost tooth; inner band of villiform conical teeth medial to caniniform teeth at front of lower jaw, about 4-6 tooth rows in broadest portion, followed by row of small, incurved conical teeth, 2-4 teeth in middle of tooth row moderately enlarged; vomer with single crescentic row of 6-8 moderately large conical teeth, all subequal in size; palatine teeth absent.

Gill membranes free from isthmus, with broad free fold; developed gill rakers short, spinous, longest raker about one-third length of longest gill filament. Nostrils small, anterior nostril with short membranous tube, its tip not reaching posterior nostril when laid back; posterior nostril pore ovate with low fleshy rim.

Pores of cephalic sensory system connected by canals under skin. Three pores in row above maxilla; 3 pores near nostrils, including 1 pore above and 1 below posterior nostril, 1 between nostrils; 2 pores on anterior margin of snout; row of 7–11 pores in infraorbital series, with several branches in posterior portion; 6–8 pores in row on posterior margin of preopercle; interorbital space with 2 pairs of pores in anterior half, irregular medial row of several small pores in posterior half; occiput with numerous small pores; ventral surface of lower jaw with 5 pores on each side, anteriormost pore behind chin.

Opercle bearing short, posteriorly-directed, flattened spine approximately at level of ventral edge of orbit in lateral view; posterior margin of subopercle slightly expanded pos-

	P. bicolo	ripes	P. diplospilus 11					
Number of specimens	27							
Standard length (mm)	66.1-136.0	Mean	54.1-74.1	Mean				
Body depth at anal-fin origin	17.2-20.6	19.2	14.7-16.8	15.7				
Body width	13.6-17.6	15.8	14.3-17.4	16.1				
Head length	25.7-28.7	26.9	25.7-27.9	27.2				
Snout length	6.8-9.1	8.3	6.5-7.7	7.0				
Fleshy orbit diameter	6.2-7.9	6.9	6.8-8.4	7.6				
Fleshy interorbital width	2.9-4.1	3.5	2.4-3.3	2.8				
Bony interorbital width	1.7-2.6	2.2	1.0-1.6	1.4				
Upper-jaw length	10.1-12.5	11.5	8.4-9.4	8.7				
Pre-dorsal-fin length	26.2-30.6	28.2	26.5-29.5	28.4				
Pre-anal-fin length	43.4-49.9	45.5	43.0-47.7	45.4				
Pre-pelvic-fin length	23.1-25.7	24.2	21.2-23.1	22.4				
Dorsal-fin base length	61.7-66.2	64.3	61.2-68.7	65.5				
1st dorsal-fin spine length	2.5-3.5	3.0	1.6-2.7	2.2				
2nd dorsal-fin spine length	4.1-5.8	4.8	3.5-5.0	4.4				
3rd dorsal-fin spine length	5.7-7.4	6.4	5.3-7.1	6.2				
4th dorsal-fin spine length	6.0-9.6	7.4	7.1-8.2	7.7				
5th dorsal-fin spine length	4.4-7.2	5.9	4.5-5.4	4.9				
Longest dorsal-fin soft ray length	13.8-17.5	15.9	12.9-14.3	13.8				
Anal-fin base length	41.3-48.6	45.4	46.2-50.1	47.8				
Anal-fin spine length	3.3-5.4	4.5	5.3-6.6	6.2				
Longest anal-fin soft ray length	12.2-14.6	13.4	9.3-10.6	9.8				
Pectoral-fin length	19.2-22.0	20.2	18.4-20.6	19.5				
Pelvic-fin length	20.6-25.7	22.6	20.1-23.2	22.0				
Caudal-fin length	18.1-21.4	19.8	18.4-20.6	19.5				
Caudal-peduncle depth	8.5-10.2	9.6	7.7-8.5	8.1				
Caudal-peduncle length	9.0-11.1	9.9	6.3-8.2	7.5				

Table 1. Morphometrics, expressed as percentages of SL, of *Parapercis bicoloripes* and *P. diplospilus* from the South China Sea and the adjacent waters.

Table 2. Frequency distribution of selected meristics of *Parapercis bicoloripes* and *P. diplospilus* from the South China Sea and the adjacent waters.

	Pectoral-fin rays						Pored lateral line scales				Scale rows above lateral line				e ¹	Scale rows above lateral line ²					
	14	15	16	17	18	52	52 53 54 55		3	.5	4		4	4.5		.5	4		4.5		
P. bicoloripes			2	23	2	1	14	8	1	1	1			1	3	1	0			1	6
P. diplospilus	2	9					1	2					7					8	8		2
	Scal	e rows	below	latera	l line	Pre-dorsal-fin scale rows							Circumpeduncular scale rows								
	10	11	12	13	14		8 9		1	0	11		2	23 2		24	25		26		
P. bicoloripes			2	17	4		5		10		6	1		1			4	10		9	
P. diplospilus	1						1		4	2				(5						
		ill rak	Lower gill rakers					Total gill rakers													
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
P. bicoloripes			3	4	7	2	1	4	6	4	1			1	2	2	2	3	5	_	1
P. diplospilus	3						1	2				1	2								

¹to first dorsal-fin spine base; ²to first dorsal-fin soft ray base.

teriorly, with 8–10 large serrae; posterior edge of interopercle with 2 or 3 weakly developed serrae; preopercle rounded with 11–20 serrae in all; posttemporal area with small exposed bony cleft, its posterior margin smooth.

Lateral line continuous, 3-5 pored lateral-line scales extending onto caudal fin; body entirely covered with developed ctenoid scales, scales on dorsolateral portion with *ca.* 35-50 short cteni; opercle, nape, and dorsal-postorbital region with developed ctenoid scales; cheek covered entirely with weakly developed ctenoid scales, possessing *ca.* 25-30 short cteni; subopercle, interopercle, snout, interorbital space, occipital area and both jaws naked; small, elongate

ctenoid basal scales extending onto pectoral and caudal fins; dorsal, anal, and pelvic fins without basal scales.

Origin of dorsal fin approximately above upper pectoral fin base; dorsal-fin spines relatively short; 4th dorsal-fin spine longest; membrane between 5th spine and 1st soft ray attached near tip of 5th spine, moderately incised; all soft rays subequal in length, 19th ray (sometimes 18th or 20th, rarely 10th or 11th) longest. Origin of anal fin below base of 5th or 6th dorsal-fin soft ray; anal-fin spine weak, slender; 15th or 16th (rarely 14th) soft ray longest. Caudal fin rounded, upper edge slightly pointed posteriorly. Pectoral fin elongate, with rounded posterior contour, 9th or 10th ray longest, its tip almost reaching vertical drawn through anal-fin origin. Pelvic fin origin slightly anterior to level of pectoralfin base, pelvic-fin spine under skin closely attached to 1st soft ray; 4th soft ray longest, its tip not reaching anus when depressed in specimens of >79 mm SL, just reaching anus in 66.1 mm SL specimen (KAUM-I. 69435).

Fresh coloration. Body pale red, whitish ventrally (Fig. 1); scales on reddish portion of body tinged centrally with yellow; ca. 7 large, indistinct, dark Y- or V-shaped markings laterally on body, from behind pectoral fin to caudal peduncle; indistinct rounded red blotch (blackish in smallest specimen, KAUM-I. 69435) on upper part of caudal-fin base, its size subequal to pupil. Head pale red; cheek with 6 or 7 radial rows of reddish or yellow spots (each cheek scale tinged with reddish or yellow); straight, narrow yellow line from anteroventral edge of orbit to dorsal margin of upper jaw; eye yellow or pink, tinged with dark red dorsally. Dorsal fin semi-translucent, membrane of soft-rayed portion with ca. 5-8 narrow, wavy, yellow longitudinal stripes, these becoming irregular and conjoined posteriorly. Anal fin pale white, tinged with yellow basally; distal part broadly tinged with red. Pectoral fin pale yellow. Pelvic fin pale red (white in KAUM-I. 69435), basal part broadly blackish, ray tips whitish. Caudal fin semi-translucent, with 5-9 radial yellow bands (or radial rows of small yellow spots), number increasing and width narrowing with growth; ventral edge tinged with red.

Distribution. *Parapercis bicoloripes* has heretofore been recorded only from the Gulf of Thailand, the South China Sea, and the Sulu Sea, including Nha Trang and Phan Thiet bays, Vietnam (Prokofiev 2008, 2010); the northern Gulf of Thailand; off the east coast of the Malay Peninsula and off northern Borneo; and at Panay Island, the Philippines (this study, Fig. 2). Although Mohsin and Ambak (1996: 537, 737, figs 413, 860) recorded *Ryukyupercis gushikeni* (Yoshino, 1975) as "*Parapercis gushikeni* (Yoshino)" from Malaysia and adjacent waters, a photograph of their *R. gushikeni* had the diagnostic coloration of *P. bicoloripes* (see below). Similarly, *P. diplospilus* and *R. gushikeni* recorded from Malaysia by Ambak *et al.* (2010: 230, 231, unnumbered figs) can be identified as *P. bicoloripes*.

Remarks. The original diagnosis of *Parapercis bicoloripes* by Prokofiev (2010) included: dorsal-fin rays V, 20 or 21; anal-fin rays I, 17 [18 soft rays in Prokofiev (2010)]; pectoral-fin rays 17; pored lateral-line scales 55–57; scale rows above lateral line to first dorsal-fin spine base 3.5; frontal canines in lower jaw 6; total gill rakers 12–14; head and body entirely covered with ctenoid scales; fifth dorsal-fin spine connected with first soft ray by membrane along most of its length; upper lobe of caudal fin slightly elongate, pointed; no dark markings on head, body, and median fins; pelvic fin bicolored. The present specimens are largely consistent with this diagnosis, with the following exceptions: pectoralfin rays [16–18 *vs.* 17 in Prokofiev (2010)], scale rows above the lateral line (3.5 or 4.5 *vs.* 3.5), pored lateral-line scales (52–55 *vs.* 55–57), and total gill rakers (12–19 *vs.* 12–14). The pored lateral-line scale count differences may have arisen from differing counting methods between the two studies. Prokofiev (2010) probably including several pored scales extending onto the caudal fin. The other minor differences in counts most likely represent intraspecific variation.

More notably, the present specimens differ from Prokofiev (2010) in most proportional measurements, including head length (25.7-28.7% SL in the former vs. 25.2-26.4% SL in the latter); pre-dorsal-fin length (26.2-30.6% SL vs. 23.9-28.4% SL); pre-anal-fin length (43.4-49.9% SL vs. 42.5-45.5% SL); pre-pelvic-fin length (23.1-25.7% SL vs. 20.9-23.2% SL); caudal-fin length (18.1-21.4% SL vs. 15.8-18.1% SL); pectoral-fin length (19.2-22.0% SL vs. 16.9-18.4% SL); pelvic-fin length (20.6-25.7% SL vs. 19.4-23.9% SL); caudal-peduncle length (9.0-11.1% SL vs. 11.4-14.6% SL); and caudal-peduncle depth (8.5-10.2% SL vs. 8.2-9.7% SL). Of these, the minor differences in lengths of the head and pelvic fin, and in caudal-peduncle depth, very likely represent individual variation within the species. Differences in pre-dorsal- and pre-anal-fin lengths may be explained by ontogenetic morphological changes (see below) in as much as the material used in the two studies ranged from 66.1 to 136.0 mm SL (present study) and from 88 to 155 mm SL (Prokofiev 2010). The remaining differences between the present specimens and Prokofiev (2010) (lengths of the caudal peduncle, pre-pelvic-fin portion, and caudal and pectoral fins) possibly resulted from different measuring techniques, details of which were not provided by Prokofiev (2010).

Notwithstanding the above-mentioned discrepancies, the present specimens are identified here as *P. bicoloripes*, based on their color pattern. Although 13 additional species of *Parapercis* were newly described subsequent to Prokofiev (2010), *P. bicoloripes* can be distinguished easily from all the congeners by its unique coloration (Ho and Shao 2010; Liao *et al.* 2011; Allen and Erdmann 2012; Ho *et al.* 2012, 2014; Johnson and White 2012; Sparks and Baldwin 2012; Ho and Johnson 2013; Chen *et al.* 2014; Johnson *et al.* 2014; Ho 2015).

Parapercis bicoloripes, having been previously reported from Vietnam as *Parapercis* sp. 1 by Prokofiev (2008), was described by Prokofiev (2010) on the basis of 20 specimens from Nha Trang and Phan Thiet bays, Vietnam. The species had also been recorded by Mohsin and Ambak (1996) and Ambak *et al.* (2010) from Malaysia and adjacent waters, but was misidentified by them as *P. diplospilus* or *R. gushikeni.* The present specimens, therefore, represent the first confirmed records of *P. bicoloripes* from Thailand, Malaysia, and the Philippines, indicating a widespread distribution of the species in the Gulf of Thailand, the South China Sea, and the Sulu Sea (Fig. 2).

Morphological changes with growth. Analysis of the 26 examined specimens (66.1–136.0 mm SL) indicated that several body proportions change with growth in *P. bicoloripes.* Relative lengths of the snout, bony interorbital space, and upper jaw tend to become greater with growth; in contrast, relative lengths of the fleshy orbit diameter, pre-dorsal-fin portion, pre-anal-fin portion, second dorsal-fin spine, anal-fin spine, and pelvic fin become shorter with growth (Fig. 3). No other significant growth-related proportional changes were apparent.

The blotch on the upper part of the caudal-fin base appeared to become paler and more indistinct with growth. The smallest examined specimen (KAUM-I. 69435, 66.1 mm SL) had a distinct blackish-red blotch on the base (Fig. 1C), in contrast to larger specimens, which had a poorly defined red blotch (Fig. 1A-B). Moreover, the number and width of the yellow bands on the caudal fin become greater and narrower, respectively, with growth. Specimens of <80 mm SL (KAUM-I. 69435 and 79764) possessed about five caudal-fin bands, whereas six to nine bands were present in specimens of >96 mm SL. The bands were relatively wide in the smallest examined specimen, about twice as wide as the spaces between them (Fig. 1C). In contrast, the bands of large specimens were relatively narrow, with a maximum width subequal to or clearly narrower than the spaces between them (Fig. 1A–B).

Although gill raker numbers varied considerably in *P. bicoloripes* (12–19; Table 2), no significant relationship was recognized between their number and either standard length or capture locality, the range in number apparently being as aspect of individual variation.

Parapercis diplospilus Gomon, 1981 (Figs 2, 4A–B, 5; Tables 1–2)

Material examined. Eleven specimens, 44.2–74.1 mm SL. **Thailand:** KAUM–I. 22880, 67.5 mm SL, northern Gulf of Thailand, bottom trawl (obtained at Angsila fishing port, Chonburi Province); NSMT-P 55320, 3 specimens, 44.2–54.9 mm SL, off Songkla; NSMT-P 70071, 3 specimens, 66.5–70.4 mm SL, possibly Thailand (no further locality data); NSMT-P 70944, 61.3 mm SL, Thailand (no further locality data); NSMT-P 115466, 55.8 mm SL, Thailand (no further locality data). **Malaysia:** KAUM–I. 16930, 54.1 mm SL, off Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu, bottom trawl (obtained at Cendering fishing port, Kuala Terengganu). **Philippines:** KAUM–I. 80706, 74.1 mm SL, off Iloilo, Panay Island (obtained at Tigbauan Market, Iloilo), 11 November 2015.

Description. Morphometrics, expressed as percentages of SL, and frequency distribution of selected meristics are shown in Tables 1–2. Dorsal-fin rays V, 22; anal-fin rays I, 18; pectoral-fin rays all branched except for uppermost ray; pelvic-fin rays I, 5; branched caudal-fin rays 15 (8 and 7 in dorsal and ventral series, respectively); pseudobranchial filaments 10 (1) or 11 (1); branchiostegal rays 6.

Body subcylindrical, moderately elongate, deep, depth at anal-fin origin 3.1–4.2 in SL; greatest width at pectoralfin base 3.4–5.0 in SL. Caudal peduncle short, deep, depth 0.8–1.0 in length. Head small, its length 1.6–2.7 in SL; snout short, pointed, its length subequal to orbit diameter; eye relatively large, fleshy orbit diameter 3.3–4.0 in HL; interorbital space narrow, least bony width 17.2–26.7 in HL.

Mouth small, slightly oblique, forming angle of about 20° to horizontal axis of head; lower jaw slightly protruding beyond upper jaw tip; posterior margin of upper jaw reaching vertical drawn through anterior margin of pupil. Upper jaw with outer row of 18-30 incurved caniniform teeth on each side (number increasing with growth), anterior 2-4 caniniform teeth slightly larger, other teeth increasingly smaller posteriorly; inner band of villiform conical teeth behind outer canine row of upper jaw, broadest anteriorly with 3-5 rows maximum, gradually narrowing posteriorly to 1 row at rear of jaw. Front of lower jaw with usually 4 pairs of incurved enlarged, caniniform teeth (3 and 4 on left and right sides, respectively, in KAUM-I. 16930; 7 or 8 in total) in distinctly separate outer row, innermost tooth usually smallest, its size about half that of other teeth; inner band of villiform conical teeth medial to caniniform teeth at front of lower jaw, broadest portion with about 5 tooth rows, followed by row of small, incurved conical teeth, 3-10 teeth in middle of tooth row slightly enlarged; vomer with crescentic patch of 2 or 3 rows of small conical teeth, subequal in size; palatine teeth absent.

Gill membranes free from isthmus, with broad free fold; developed gill rakers short and spinous, longest raker about one-fourth as long as longest gill filament. Nostrils small, anterior nostril with short membranous tube, its tip not reaching posterior nostril when laid back; posterior nostril pore ovate or elliptical with slightly raised rim.

Pores of cephalic sensory system connected by canals under skin. Row of 3–4 pores above maxilla; 3 pores near nostrils, including 1 pore above and 1 below posterior nostril, 1 between nostrils; 2 pores on anterior margin of snout; row of 11–14 pores in infraorbital series, with several branches in posterior portion; 10 or 11 pores in row on posterior margin of preopercle; interorbital space with 2–5 pairs of pores on anterior half, small pores scattered medially on posterior half; occiput with numerous small pores; ventral surface of lower jaw with 5 pores on each side, anteriormost pore behind chin.

Opercle bearing strong, posteriorly-directed, flattened spine, approximately at level of ventral edge of orbit in lateral view; posterior margin of subopercle well expanded posteriorly, with 13–19 serrae, upper serrae relatively large, number of serrae increasing with growth; posterior edge of interopercle with 2 or 3 weak serrae; preopercle rounded, posteroventral edge with about 6 serrae; posttemporal area with small exposed bony cleft with several indistinct bony protuberances on its posterior margin.

Lateral line continuous, about 3 pored lateral-line scales extending onto caudal fin; body entirely covered with developed ctenoid scales, scales on dorsolateral portion with *ca.* 40 cteni; head, including cheek, preopercle, interopercle,



Fig. 4. Fresh specimens of *Parapercis diplospilus* from Thailand (A) and the Philippines (B), and *P. ommatura* from Japan (C). A, KAUM–I. 22880, 67.5 mm SL, northern Gulf of Thailand; B, KAUM–I. 80706, 74.1 mm SL, off Iloilo, Panay Island; C, BSKU 81924, 84.6 mm SL, Tosa Bay, Kochi Prefecture.



Fig. 5. Smallest examined specimen of *Parapercis diplospilus* (preserved). NSMT-P 55320, one of three specimens, 44.2 mm SL, off Songkla, Thailand.

subopercle, and opercle, with ctenoid scales; no scales on snout, interorbital space, occipital area, or both jaws; bases of pectoral and caudal fins covered with small, elongate ctenoid scales; dorsal, anal, and pelvic fins without basal scales.

Origin of dorsal fin posterior to vertical drawn through upper origin of pectoral fin; dorsal-fin spines relatively short; 4th dorsal-fin spine longest; membrane between 5th spine and 1st soft ray attached near tip of 5th spine, deeply incised; anterior soft rays subequal in length, 3rd or 4th ray longest. Origin of anal fin below base of 5th dorsal-fin soft ray; anal-fin spine weak, slender; 4th soft ray longest. Caudal fin truncate to slightly rounded, upper edge (and lower edge in KAUM–I. 80766) slightly pointed. Pectoral fin slightly elongate, with rounded posterior contour, 7th or 8th ray longest, its tip reaching vertical drawn through anal-fin origin. Pelvic fin origin anterior to vertical drawn through pectoral-fin base, spine covered with skin closely attached to first soft ray; 4th soft ray longest, depressed tip almost reaching anus.

Fresh coloration. Body creamy-white, whiter ventrally, darkening dorsally and laterally. (Fig. 4A–B); lower half of lateral body surface above anal fin with transverse row of about 6 large, brown blotches. Head creamy-white, postorbital region and nape brownish. Snout with indistinct narrow brown band (width about half pupil diameter) extending from anterior margin of orbit to tip of snout. Cheek creamy-white, with 2 brown blotches below eye; anterior blotch small, situated between ventral margin of orbit and posterior tip of upper jaw; posterior blotch below posteroventral margin of orbit, with slight yellowish tinge. Eye yellow to dull orange, tinged with red posterodorsally; pupil black. Opercle generally brownish. Membrane of spinous portion of dorsal fin pale yellow; membrane between 1st and 3rd spines brownish. Soft-rayed portion of dorsal fin with pale yellow membrane and about 3 poorly defined brownish stripes. Anal-fin semi-translucent, membranes between each ray with brownish tinge. Pectoral-fin membrane semi-translucent, rays with brownish tinge, base with oblique narrow brown band. Pelvic fin pale yellow, with numerous minute melanophores throughout; spine and tips of soft rays white. Two small dark brown ocellated spots on caudal-fin base, subequal to pupil in size. Caudal-fin semitranslucent, with *ca.* 3 narrow, dull orange bands; posterior margin with narrow zone of dark orange tinge.

Distribution. *Parapercis diplospilus* is distributed in the southeastern Indian and western Pacific oceans (Fig. 2): Nha Trang Bay, Vietnam (Prokofiev 2008); Gulf of Thailand (this study); off Terengganu, Malaysia (east coast of Malay Peninsula) (this study); Visayan Islands, the Philippines (Gomon 1981; this study); Kaimana, West Papua, Indonesia (Allen and Erdmann 2009); Milne Bay, Papua New Guinea (Allen and Erdmann 2012); and Australia, including Queensland (Johnson 1999; Robins and McGilvray 1999), Northern Territory (Larson *et al.* 2013), and Western Australia (Hutchins 2001, 2003; Allen *et al.* 2006). Although Ambak *et al.* (2010) recorded the species from Malaysia, their photograph is re-identified here as *P. bicoloripes* (see above).

Remarks. The present specimens, from Thailand, Malaysia, and the Philippines, agree closely with the original description and figures of P. diplospilus given by Gomon (1981), including the diagnostic characters of the species [dorsal-fin rays V, 22, with last spine connected by deeply incised membrane to first soft ray; anal-fin rays I, 18; pectoral-fin rays 15; scale rows below lateral line 10; circumpeduncular scale rows 23; 7 or 8 (usually 4 pairs) of caniniform teeth in front part of lower jaw; and 2 prominent dark spots on caudal-fin base]. They differ slightly from Gomon (1981) in having a slightly longer fourth dorsal-fin spine, 7.1-8.2% SL (vs. 6.4-7.8% SL in the latter), regarded here as intraspecific variation. Gomon (1981) included a detailed comparison of P. diplospilus with P. ommatura Jordan and Snyder, 1902 (Fig. 4C), which is similar to the former in overall body appearance. Parapercis diplospilus can be readily distinguished from P. ommatura by the two dark spots on the caudal-fin base [vs. a single (upper) spot in the latter] and blotches on the cheek (vs. longitudinal stripes) (Gomon 1981; Fig. 4).

Parapercis diplospilus, originally described by Gomon (1981) on the basis of 21 specimens from the Philippine islands, has subsequently been recorded from many localities in the southeastern Indian and western Pacific oceans, although not from the Gulf of Thailand or east coast of the Malay Peninsula. The present specimens, therefore, represent the first records of the species from these two localities.

The record of *P. diplospilus* from Nha Trang Bay (Prokofiev 2008) may need to be reviewed, as the five Vietnamese specimens described differed significantly from Gomon's (1981) description in having 17 or 18 pectoral-fin rays (vs. 14-16 in the latter), six caniniform teeth on the front part of the lower jaw (vs. seven or eight), and a relatively greater pelvic-fin length of 25.6-27.5% SL (vs. 19.9-23.6% SL). Of these characters, dentition has been treated as an important diagnostic feature of Parapercis species (Cantwell 1964). In addition, Prokofiev (2008) considered the difference in pelvic-fin length of the Vietnamese specimens as likely size-related intraspecific variation [the latter specimens being smaller, 37-45 mm SL vs. 48.7-78.0 mm SL in Gomon (1981)]. However, the smallest specimen examined here (44.2 mm SL, one of NSMT-P 55320; Fig. 5) clearly had relatively shorter pelvic fins than the Vietnamese specimens (23.0% SL in the former vs. 25.6-27.5% SL in the latter). Moreover, Prokofiev (2008) stated that the posterior tip of the depressed pelvic fin extended well beyond the anal-fin origin in the Vietnamese specimens, such not being so in the present smallest specimen. However, the relationship between pelvic-fin length and standard length can not be considered further here due to the limited material available.

Comments on records of Parapercis ommatura. Shimada (2013) considered Parapercis ommatura Jordan and Snyder, 1902 to be distributed in the northwestern Pacific Ocean, including China, Taiwan, and Japan. Although Prokofiev (2008) recorded P. ommatura from Nha Trang Bay, Vietnam, Liao et al. (2011) included P. ommatura sensu Prokofiev (2008) in the synonymy of their new species, Parapercis lutevittata Liao, Cheng, and Shao, 2011. Recently, Ray et al. (2015) recorded P. ommatura, based on 21 specimens (71.9-100.0 mm SL), from the northern Bay of Bengal, off West Bengal, India. These specimens differed slightly from P. ommatura [as redescribed by Imamura and Yoshino (2007) on the basis of 16 specimens (64.5-101.9 mm SL), including the holotype of the species, from Japan and China] in having 13-14 gill rakers (vs. 8-13 in the latter) and 61 or 62 lateral-line scales [vs. 56-58; 56-60 in Shimada (2013)]. Moreover, the Indian specimens also differed from P. ommatura from the northwestern Pacific (Shinohara 1997; this study, Fig. 1C) in coloration, including bright orange stripes on the cheek (Ray et al. 2015: fig. 1) (vs. brownish in the latter) and a small, black spot on each membrane between the third and fifth dorsal-fin spines (vs. absent). Accordingly, the Indian specimens recorded by Ray et al. (2015) may represent a species distinct from P. ommatura.

Comparative material. *Parapercis diplospilus*: UPVMI 316, 57.5 mm SL, off Iloilo, Panay Island, Philippines (obtained at Central Market, Iloilo City) (photograph only). *Parapercis ommatura*: BSKU 81924, 84.6 mm SL, Tosa Bay, Kochi Prefecture, Japan, 30 m depth, RV *Toyohata-maru*.

Acknowledgments

We are deeply grateful to P. Musikasinthorn, S. Ratmuangkhwang, P. Sreesamran, S. Arbsuwan, S. Ekajit, and N. Ngamtampong (Kasetsart University, Bangkok); N. Muto

(formerly Research Institute for Humanity and Nature, Kyoto); K. Koeda, T. Yoshida, H. Hata, K. Eguchi, and A. Yoshiura (KAUM); staff of the South China Sea Repository and Reference Center, Institute of Oceanography and Environment, and the School of Fisheries and Aquaculture Sciences, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu; and U. Alama and other staff of UPVM for their generous assistance. We also gratefully thank K. Sasaki, H. Endo, T. Naito and M. Sato (BSKU); N. Nakayama and R. Asaoka (formerly BSKU); K. Matsuura, G. Shinohara, M. Nakae, E. Katayama, and K. Kuriiwa (NSMT); and S. Chiba (formerly NSMT) for their kind hospitality during the first author's visit to their institutions; H. Endo for providing a photograph of P. ommatura; and students and volunteers of KAUM for curatorial assistance. G. Hardy (Ngunguru, New Zealand) read the manuscript and provided help with English. This study was conducted under a Memorandum of Agreement for joint research made by and among the Department of Agriculture of the Republic of the Philippines (DA), the University of the Philippines-Visayas (UPV), the Kagoshima University Museum, the Research Institute for Humanity and Nature, and Tokai University, facilitated by S. L. Sanchez [Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources (BFAR), DA]. P. J. Alcala (DA) providing a Prior Informed Consent Certificate and I. P. Cabacaba and S. M. S. Nolasco (BFAR, DA) providing a fish specimen Export Certificate (No. 2016-39812). We thank the staff of Office of the Vice-Chancellor for Research and Extension, UPV, UPV Museum of Natural Sciences, College of Fisheries, UPV, including S. S. Garibay, U. B. Alama, V. G. Urbina, L. H. Mooc, C. J. N. Rubido, E. P. Abunal, A. M. T. Guzman, R. S. Cruz, A. C. Gaje, and R. F. M. Traifalgar, and graduate students of College of Fisheries, UPV for their support to this research collaboration. This study was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP26241027, JP24370041, JP23580259, and JP26450265; a JSPS Fellow grant (PD: 16J00047); the JSPS Core-to-Core Program, "Research and Education Network on Southeast Asian Coastal Ecosystems"; the "Coastal Area Capability Enhancement in Southeast Asia Project" of the Research Institute for Humanity and Nature, Kyoto, Japan; the "Biological Properties of Biodiversity Hotspots in Japan" project of the National Museum of Nature and Science, Tsukuba, Japan; and the "Establishment of Research and Education Network on Biodiversity and Its Conservation in the Satsunan Islands" project of Kagoshima University adopted by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

References

- Allen, G. R., Cross, N. J., and Allen, C. J. 2006. Pinguipedidae. Pp. 1488–1494. In: Hoese, D. F., Bray, D. J., Paxton, J. R., and Allen, G. R. (Eds) Zoological Catalogue of Australia. Volume 35, Part 3. ABRS & CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood.
- Allen, G. R. and Erdmann, M. V. 2009. Reef fishes of the Bird's Head Peninsula, West Papua, Indonesia. Check List 5: 587–628.
- Allen, G. R. and Erdmann, M. V. 2012. Reef Fishes of the East Indies.

Volumes 1-3. Tropical Reef Research, Perth, xiii+1292 pp.

- Amante, C. and Eakins, B. W. 2009. ETOPO1 1 arc-minute global relief model: procedures, data sources and analysis. NOAA Technical Memorandum NESDIS NGDC-24, March 2009. Available at http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/global/ (15 August 2014).
- Ambak, M. A., Isa, M. M., Zakaria, M. Z., and Ghaffar, M. A. 2010. *Fishes of Malaysia*. Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Kuala Terengganu, xi+334 pp.
- Cantwell, G. E. 1964. A revision of the genus *Parapercis*, family Mugiloididae. Pacific Science 18: 239–280.
- Chen, I.-S., Tsai, T.-H., and Hsu, S.-L. 2014. A new *Parapercis* species from the Dongsha Island, South China Sea with comments on a new record from Taiwan. Journal of Marine Science and Technology 21 (Supplement): 230–233.
- Gomon, J. R. 1981. Parapercis diplospilus (Pisces: Mugiloididae), a new species from the Philippine Islands. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 93: 989–996.
- Ho, H.-C. 2015. Description of a new species and redescriptions of two rare species of *Parapercis* (Perciformes: Pinguipedidae) from the tropical Pacific Ocean. Zootaxa 3999: 255–271.
- Ho, H.-C., Chang, C.-H., and Shao, K.-T. 2012. Two new sandperches (Perciformes: Pinguipedidae: *Parapercis*) from South China Sea, based on morphology and DNA barcoding. Raffles Bulletin of Zoology 60: 163–172.
- Ho, H.-C., Heemstra, P. C., and Imamura, H. 2014. A new species of the sandperch genus *Parapercis* from the western Indian Ocean (Perciformes: Pinguipedidae). Zootaxa 3802: 333–345.
- Ho, H.-C. and Johnson, J. W. 2013. Redescription of *Parapercis macrophthalma* (Pietschmann, 1911) and description of a new species of *Parapercis* (Pisces: Pinguipedidae) from Taiwan. Zootaxa 3620: 273–282.
- Ho, H.-C. and Shao, K.-T. 2010. Parapercis randalli, a new sandperch (Pisces: Pinguipedidae) from southern Taiwan. Zootaxa 2690: 59–67.
- Hoeksema, B. W. 2007. Chapter 5. Delineation of the Indo-Malayan centre of maximum marine biodiversity: the Coral Triangle. Pp. 117–178. In: Renema, W. (Ed.) Biogeography, Time and Place: Distributions, Barriers and Islands. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Hutchins, J. B. 2001. Checklist of the fishes of Western Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum Supplement 63: 9–50.
- Hutchins, J. B. 2003. Checklist of marine fishes of the Dampier Archipelago, Western Australia. Pp. 453–478. *In*: Wells, F. E., Walker, D. I., and Jones, D. S. (Eds) *The Marine Flora and Fauna of Dampier*, *Western Australia*. Western Australian Museum, Perth.
- Imamura, H. and Yoshino, T. 2007. Taxonomic status of the sandperch Percis caudimaculatum and validity of Parapercis ommatura (Actinopterygii: Perciformes: Pinguipedidae). Species Diversity 12: 83–87.
- Johnson, J. W. 1999. Annotated checklist of the fishes of Moreton Bay, Queensland, Australia. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 43: 709–762.
- Johnson, J. W., Struthers, C. D., and Worthington Wilmer, J. 2014. Parapercis nigrodorsalis (Perciformes: Pinguipedidae), a new species of sandperch from northern New Zealand and the Norfolk Ridge, Tasman Sea and remarks on P. binivirgata (Waite, 1904). Zootaxa 3856: 484–500.
- Johnson, J. W. and White, W. T. 2012. Parapercis pariomaculata (Perciformes: Pinguipedidae), a new species of sandperch from Lombok and Bali, Indonesia and remarks on the validity of P. quadrispinosa (Weber 1913). Zootaxa 3319: 57–68.
- Kimura, S., Arshad, A., Imamura, H., and Ghaffar, M. A. (Eds) 2015. Fishes of the Northwestern Johor Strait, Peninsular Malaysia. Universiti Putra Malaysia Press, Serdang and Mie University, Tsu, viii+108 pp.

- Larson, H. K., Williams, R. S., and Hammer, M. P. 2013. An annotated checklist of the fishes of the Northern Territory, Australia. Zootaxa 3696: 1–293.
- Liao, Y.-C., Cheng, T.-Y., and Shao, K.-T. 2011. Parapercis lutevittata, a new cryptic species of Parapercis (Teleostei: Pinguipedidae), from the western Pacific based on morphological evidence and DNA barcoding. Zootaxa 2867: 32–42.
- Matsunuma, M., Motomura, H., Matsuura, K., Shazili, N. A. M., and Ambak, M. A. (Eds) 2011. Fishes of Terengganu—East Coast of Malay Peninsula, Malaysia. National Museum of Nature and Science, Tokyo, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Terengganu, and Kagoshima University Museum, Kagoshima, viii+251 pp.
- Mohsin, A. K. M. and Ambak, M. A. 1996. Marine Fishes and Fisheries of Malaysia and Neighbouring Countries. Universiti Pertanian Malaysia Press, Serdang, xxxvi+744 pp.
- Prokofiev, A. M. 2008. Sandperches (Mugiloididae: *Parapercis*) of Nha Trang Bay, South China Sea, Vietnam. Journal of Ichthyology 48: 876–890.
- Prokofiev, A. M. 2010. Two new species of fishes from families Muraenidae (Anguilliformes) and Mugiloididae (Perciformes) from the waters of Vietnam. Journal of Ichthyology 50: 580–589.
- Quantum GIS Development Team 2014. Quantum GIS Geographic Information System. Open Source Geospatial Foundation Project. Available at http://www.qgis.org/ (11 June 2014).

Randall, J. E. and Lim, K. K. P. 2000. A checklist of the fishes of the

South China Sea. Raffles Bulletin of Zoology Supplement 8: 569-667.

- Randall, J. E., Senou, H., and Yoshino, T. 2008. Three new pinguipedid fishes of the genus *Parapercis* from Japan. Bulletin of the National Museum of Nature and Science, Series A Supplement 2: 69–84.
- Ray, D., Ho, H.-C., and Mohapatra, A. 2015. First record of *Parapercis ommatura* (Actinopterygii: Perciformes: Pinguipedidae) from the Indian Ocean. Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria 45: 403–405.
- Robins, J. B. and McGilvray, J. G. 1999. The AusTED II, an improved trawl efficiency device 2. Commercial performance. Fisheries Research 40: 29–41.
- Shimada, K. 2013. Pinguipedidae. Pp. 1258–1264, 2088–2091. In: Nakabo, T. (Ed.) Fishes of Japan with Pictorial Keys to the Species, Third Edition. Tokai University Press, Hadano. [In Japanese]
- Shinohara, N. 1997. Mugiloididae. Pp. 549–553. In: Okamura, O. and Amaoka, K. (Eds) Sea Fishes of Japan. Yama-Kei Publishers, Tokyo. [In Japanese]
- Sparks, J. S. and Baldwin, Z. H. 2012. A new species of *Parapercis* (Teleostei: Pinguipedidae) from Madagascar. Zootaxa 3204: 31–39.
- Yoshida, T., Motomura, H., Musikasinthorn, P., and Matsuura, K. (Eds) 2013. Fishes of Northern Gulf of Thailand. National Museum of Nature and Science, Tsukuba, Research Institute for Humanity and Nature, Kyoto, and Kagoshima University Museum, Kagoshima, viii+239 pp.